# BROWNSVILLE METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

#### F.Y. 2017-F.Y. 2020 METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP)

By:

Brownsville MPO Staff
Planning and Development Services Department
City of Brownsville
1034 E. Levee Street
Brownsville, TX 78520

Sponsoring Governmental Agencies:

Other Participating Agencies:

City of Brownsville
City of Los Fresnos
Town of Rancho Viejo
Cameron County
Texas Department of Transportation
Brownsville Navigation District
Brownsville Independent School District
Los Fresnos Consolidated Independent School District

Brownsville Chamber of Commerce Brownsville Airport Advisory Committee Brownsville Economic Development Council

Adopted by the MPO Policy Committee on: April 13, 2016

## RESOLUTION BROWNSVILLE MPO POLICY COMMITTEE

**WHEREAS**, the Policy Committee of the Brownsville Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) has reviewed the recommendation of the MPO Technical Committee as concerns the adoption of the F.Y. 2017-2020 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP); and

**WHEREAS**, the Policy Committee of the Brownsville MPO finds that the program will promote both the general welfare and economic development of the Brownsville MPO area; and

**WHEREAS**, the Brownsville MPO staff and MPO Policy and Technical Committee members will work in cooperation with TxDOT staff to implement the Urban Transportation Planning Process in compliance with applicable State and Federal guidelines.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the F.Y. 2017-2020 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is hereby adopted by the Policy Committee of the Brownsville Metropolitan Planning Organization.

Signed:

Tony Martinez Chairperson

Brownsville MPO Policy Committee

Toribio Garza Jr.()P.E.
TXDOT District Engineer
TXDOT Pharr District

Dated: 4-13-2016

## PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT PROCESS (PIP) CERTIFICATION

The **Brownsville Metropolitan Planning Organization** certifies that the Public Involvement Process adopted by the Policy Committee was followed as part of the adoption of the F.Y. 2017-2020 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).

	4-13-2016
Tony Martinez	Date
Chairperson	
Brownsville MPO Policy Committee	
Mark Lund MPO Divertor	Q 4-13=2016 Date

MPO Director
City of Brownsville

Toribio Garza Ir., P.E.
TxDOT District Engineer
TxDOT Pharr District

//*B/16* Date

# PROJECT SELECTION PROCEDURES F.Y. 2017 - F.Y. 2020 FOR THE BROWNSVILLE METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

The Policy Committee, acting as the policy group for the above named Metropolitan Planning Organization, hereby approves the following procedures pertaining to project selection for F.Y. 2017-2020 (September 1, 2016-August 31, 2020):

TxDOT Pharr District will have the authority to select projects for implementation from the currently approved four-year Transportation Improvement Plan, with the understanding that projects can be advanced in the event that additional funding becomes available.

This procedure was developed cooperatively between the Texas Department of Transportation and the MPO and supersedes any previously adopted project selection procedures and signed this 13th day of April, 2016.

Chairman of the Policy Committee

4-13-2816

Date

TxDOT Pharr District Engineer

4/18/16 Date

#### **MPO Self-Certification**

In acco	ordance with 23 Code of Federal ment of Transportation and the _	Regulations (CFR) part 450.334, the Texas  Metropolitan
Plannir	ng Organization for the	urhanized area(s) hereby
certify t	that the metropolitan transportati	on planning process is being carried out in
accord	ance with all applicable requirem	nents including:
43	0011 11 101 1 0 1 11 11	
1)	23 United States Code (U.S.C)	134, 49 U.S.C 503, and 23 CFR 450 subpart C
2)	- Metropolitan Transportation F	rianning and Programming;
2)	the Clean Air Act as smended	ince areas, sections 174 and 176 (c) and (d) of
	part 93;	(42 U.S.C. 7504, 7506 (c) and (d)) and 40 CFR
3)		f 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2000d-1) and 49
-,	CFR part 21;	1 1304, as amended (42 0.5.C. 20000-1) and 49
4)		crimination on the basis of race, color, creed,
	national origin, sex, or age in e	mployment or business opportunity:
5)	Section 1101(b) of the Safe, Ac	countable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation
	Equity Act: A Legacy for Users	(SAFETEA-LU (Public Law 109-59)) and 49
	CFR part 26 regarding the invo	lvement of disadvantaged business enterprises
6)	in USDOT funded projects;	Implementation of a control of
٥,	Connectualty program on Federa	implementation of an equal employment I and Federal-aid highway construction
	contracts;	rand rederal-aid highway construction
7)		s with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C.
•	12101 et seq.) and 49 CFR par	ts 27, 37, and 38;
8)	The Older Americans Act, as ar	mended (42 U.S.C. 6101), prohibiting
	discrimination on the basis of a	ge in programs or activities receiving Federal
0)	financial assistance;	
9)	on gender; and	garding the prohibition of discrimination based
10)		n Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794) and 49 CFR
,	part 27 regarding discrimination	against individuals with disabilities.
		against individuals with disabilities.
-/	1	
1	1.18100	
	Mr. Oul 16	
Tovar	District	Metropolitan Planning Organization
I CADE L	Department of Transportation	Policy Board Chairperson
Tor	District Engineer	Tony Martines
	District Engineer	Tony Manting Chairperson
	whole	•
	4 18 10 Date	4-13-2016
	Pale	Date

#### I. INTRODUCTION

All urbanized areas in the United States with a population of 50,000 or more, are required to have a designated Metropolitan Planning Organization, (MPO). The MPO makes both transportation plans and policies that affect how transportation dollars are allocated and how regional and local needs are addressed. The Brownsville MPO area includes the cities of Brownsville, Los Fresnos and the Town of Rancho Viejo, as well as unincorporated areas in southern and southeastern portions of Cameron County.

In 2010, the Brownsville MPO study area had a population of approximately 226,282 persons. Located on the Texas border, north of Matamoros in the Republic of Mexico, the Brownsville MPO is organized into two committees, as follows:

MPO Policy Committee—The Policy Committee approves of all federal funding allocations, as well as transportation plans and policies. The Policy Committee takes action based on recommendations from the Technical Committee.

MPO Technical Committee—This advisory Committee is comprised of transportation planners and other agency staff who are representatives of the same agencies which compose membership of the MPO Policy Committee. This Committee provides technical support and makes recommendations to members of the MPO Policy Committee.

Since 2000, all three municipalities within the MPO study area have experienced significant increases in population. Further increases in population, employment and housing are projected for the Brownsville MPO in the coming decades.

The F.Y. 2017-2020 Transportation Improvement Program will address the area's mobility issues related to urban growth. The Brownsville MPO Policy Committee adopted this document by action taken on April 13, 2016. The contents of this document reflect the Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST Act), as well as the provisions of the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) regulations.

#### A. <u>Purpose</u>

The purpose of the F.Y. 2017-2020 Brownsville Transportation Improvement Program is, in the coming years, to fund projects that afford improvements to the MPO's area transportation system. The MPO seeks to provide continuous, cooperative and comprehensive transportation planning for the area. This serves to promote both the general welfare and economic development of the Brownsville Metropolitan area. Securing broad-based and on-going public involvement in the transportation planning process is an integral part of this

process, and opportunities to citizens for early and continuing involvement.

The Public Involvement Policies Adopted by the Brownsville MPO shall provide for:

Early and continuing public involvement opportunities throughout the transportation planning and programming process;

Timely information about transportation issues, plans and projects to citizens, affected public agencies, representatives of transportation agency employees, private providers of transportation, and interested parties and segments of the community;

Reasonable public access to technical policy information used in the development of transportation plans and programs:

Adequate public notice of involvement activities (e.g. meetings, public hearings) and sufficient time allotted for public review and comment at key decision points;

A process for demonstrating explicit consideration and response to public input, during the planning and program development process;

A process for seeking out and considering the needs of those traditionally undeserved by existing transportation system;

Periodic review of the effectiveness of the public involvement process.

Opportunity For Comment – In developing the TIP, the MPO, in cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) and Brownsville Metro, Brownsville's transit provider, shall provide an opportunity for participation by interested parties in the development of the program, in accordance with subsection (i)(5), [6001(j)(1)(b)].

In early 2016, the Brownsville MPO held two public workshops, exceeding its usual public involvement requirements, as concerns TIP formulation and adoption. The MPO Listening Sessions were organized to make it easy for the public to offer comments on both short term needs (for possible TIP inclusion) and long range needs for MPO consideration. The MPO workshops' dates, time and locations are listed below:

- Thursday, February 11, 2016 at 9:30 a.m.
   Main Branch Library, 2600 Central Boulevard, Brownsville, TX 78520
- Tuesday, February 16, 2016 at 1:30 p.m.
   Southmost Branch Library, 4320 Southmost Blvd., Brownsville, Texas 78520

The informal format used at these two open house workshops allowed participants to stop at any of five manned stations (tables) to ask questions, examine displays/maps and/or offer comments. The five (5) stations focused on the following topics: Transit, Congestion Problems/Signals, Safety, Highways/Roadways and Bicycle & Pedestrian Issues.

(1)Increases Safety - (Maximum 13 points)

This factor involves incorporation of proven safety (design) features. Step One – The evaluators reach a consensus as to the improvement project's level of afforded safety:

- Low Safety
- Medium Safety
- High Safety

Step Two - The evaluators may award points, as follows:

- 1 5 points
- 6 9 points
- 10 13 points

# (2)Completes a Gap/or Provides an Alternate Route - (Maximum 9 points)

A system gap exists when there is a four lane roadway corridor that has a narrow (2 lane) segment. Widening from two lanes to four lanes would complete or close the gap. Closing a gap with a longer distance is worth points. And, closing a gap on those roadways with higher volumes deserves more points.

Also, provision of an alternate route serves to promote mobility. Thus, when new (alternate) route serves to reduce traffic on high volume (adjacent) routes, the new route deserves more points.

(3) Provides for Alternate Modes - (Maximum 11 points)

The proposed improvement project includes design features/elements that introduce and/or support alternate modes, as follows:

- Transit elements eg. concrete pads, bus shelters and sidewalks to/from bus stops or designated bus lane(s).
- Bicycle elements bike lanes, signage/pavement symbols, cycle tracks or other features.
- Pedestrian elements sidewalks, push buttons at traffic signals.

NOTE: To receive 9-11 points, the project must support two or more modes.

# (4a) Responds to Congestion Management Process (CMP) Issues – (Maximum 11 points)

The greater the reduction of congestion or Level of Service (LOS) according to the Travel Demand Model (TDM), or other suitable means accepted by the group, the higher the score.

### (4b) Reduces Travel Time or Trip Length - (Maximum 11 points)

#### Right-of-Way (Maximum 4 points)

_	Dinks of	
•	Right-of-way is completed	4 points
•	Acquisition of ROW is 75% complete	3 points
•	Acquisition of ROW is 40%-60% complete	1-2 points

#### Utilities (Maximum 3 points)

•	Is	utility	relocation	required?
-	~~	wentey	i ciocatioii	rcyunear

	Dequired and completed	
-	Required and completed	3 points
	Not required	-
•		2 points
	Required, but not started	•
	madanest per nor arencen	0 points
		عاران المارات

#### Schematics (Maximum 5 points)

•	Project schematics and design plans are complete	5 points
•	Project schematics are greater than 70% complete	3-4 points
•	Project schematics are 59% or more complete	1-2 points

#### (10) Special Circumstances – (Maximum 11 points)

If a proposed roadway improvement will help to accommodate a major, new employer then points can be awarded for the number of new jobs on this basis. However, this new employment must be documented via reliable (verifiable) information. Also, the new jobs added to the local economy must exceed 300 jobs to be worthy of an award of points.

In addition, when a proposed improvement has additional support via private financial support, points can be awarded for that aspect of the improvement project. Examples include public:private partnerships, assessment dollars raised by feed placed upon private parcels and/or tax increment financing.

#### F. MTP & TIP Development

The Brownsville MPO adopted an update of the Brownsville Metropolltan Transportation Plan in December 2014. Copies of the 2015-2040 Brownsville Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) were printed in-house and made available to the public. The MTP's purpose is to guide the development of the area's transportation system through implementation of a prioritized list of improvement projects. This long-range plan identifies numerous transportation improvement projects to address projected transportation needs for the next 20-25 years.

The inclusion of an improvement project in the MTP represents a starting point for project development. Those improvement projects which advance in terms of right-of-acquisition and design become the candidate projects for possible TIP inclusion.

#### IH-69E / (Northbound) Ramp Improvements

Another project which is in construction is the I-69E / U.S. 77-83 Ramp Reconfiguration Project.

These improvements consist of new entrance and exit ramps on the northbound side between F.M. 802 and F.M. 3248.

An additional northbound lane will be included at the Morrison Road Overpass to make driving conditions safer.

This project will cost \$4,333,333. It is about 51% completed as of April, 2016.

#### U.S. 281 Improvements

U.S. 281 from F.M. 3248 to F.M. 1421 will be reconstructed in the coming months. This two lane rural highway will be reconstructed as a four land roadway, with turning lanes added at major intersections. The estimated cost is 14 million dollars.

#### I. <u>Improvement Projects</u>

Two projects were included in the May 2015 TIP Revision. These projects consist of providing wildlife crossings at several areas under State Highway 100, in order to prevent unfortunate accidents between motorists and the ocelot, an endangered species.

A concrete barrier installed to protect against vehicle collisions, unintentionally has led to the death of three of these wild cats in recent years. Construction of the wildlife crossings, underpass tunnels, will allow animals to travel between their habitats safely.

Funding is provided by Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT), via Category 12 discretionary funds. TxDOT staff has worked closely with United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) on these matters.

The September 2015 TIP Revision lists three projects. Two of the projects consist of constructing a controlled access facility for State Highway 550. Letting may occur in F.Y. 2017. Limits from 0.48 miles West of F.M. 1847 to 0.53 miles East of Old Alice Rd and from .203 miles South of F.M. 1847 to 1.13 miles Southeast of Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) Overpass at F.M. 3248.

The third project consists of constructing a rural four lane facility for U.S. 281, limits from F.M. 1421 to F.M. 3248, letting F.Y. 2016.

Most recently, two projects were included in the February 2016 TIP Revision. These projects consist of constructing a two (2) lane roadway on a new location for State Highway 32 throughout F.Y. 2016 and F.Y. 2017. Limits from: FM

school.

An MPO Program Call for Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) project applications was issued by the MPO staff in September 2015. The MPO will receive between 750,000 - 800,000 dollars in TAP monies over the next three (3) years (F.Y. 2017-2019).

With the input and cooperation of MPO Technical Committee members, MPO staff developed evaluation scoring criteria. The TAP scoring methodology and associated policies developed by the MPO Technical Committee, were officially adopted by the MPO Policy Committee members in January 2016.

A review committee composed of members of the MPO Technical Committee met on January 26, 2016 to evaluate or rank four submittals. The MPO Technical Committee members utilized the MPO's adopted TAP scoring methodology to assess these submittals, based on the following criteria:

- Increases Safety
- Completes a Gap / Provides an Alternative Route
- Provides for Alternate Modes
- Responds to Congestion Management Process (CMP) Issues or Reduces Travel Time or Trip Length
- Improves Air Quality
- Project is of Regional Significance
- Local Project Support
- Improves functioning of the MPO Network/Adding New Location
- Project Readiness
- Special Circumstances

The TAP (Category 9) Allocations approved by the MPO Policy Committee Members on March 2016 for funding are as follows:

- (1) Bike / Pedestrian Safety Improvements (Sponsor: City of Brownsville); Federal funds: \$240,642; Total funds: \$300,803.
- (2) Brownsville Metro Eastside Transfer Station (Sponsor: Brownsville Metro/City of Brownsville) Federal funds: \$ 407,486; Total funds: \$812,862.

It is expected that within one or two years of letting, these improvements will be completed and open for use by local citizens.

#### K. Year of Expenditure (YOE) & Calculation of Total Project Costs

Federal regulations stipulate that the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and the MPO's Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) include financial plans that reflect Year of Expenditure (YOE) dollars for project cost estimates. Although few mobility improvements are listed in this new TIP

consultants used by TxDOT to perform Preliminary Engineering were not derived by use of the aforementioned percentages. Instead, these costs represent the actual contract costs negotiated with a particular consultant for a specific project. Right-of-Way (ROW) costs have been obtained from TxDOT's ROW office, from project specific Advanced Funding Agreements between TxDOT and various local entities or from representatives of local project sponsors.

L. <u>Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority:</u>

Some years ago, local elected officials in the Rio Grande Valley were successful in influencing the passage of a state law enabling for additional vehicle registration fees to be collected by the counties who take appropriate actions to adopt such a fee. As a result, an additional fee is being collected. Fees imposed at the local (county) level have been inaugurated in Cameron County. Cameron County now collects a \$20.00 vehicle registration fee. These new revenues will be used to support transportation improvements in Cameron County undertaken by the Regional Mobility Authority.

#### M. Transit Planning

The City of Brownsville–Brownsville Metro provides local bus service in Brownsville. Brownsville Metro operates a network of 13 routes and 16 buses within the City of Brownsville using 30-35 foot buses. Most routes begin and end at the Downtown Multi-modal Terminal (La Plaza). One route operates at a transfer station located near F.M. 802 in the north side of the City. The City also offers a paratransit (demand/response) service for eligible individuals with disabilities at a discounted rate. Brownsville Metro hours of operation are from 5:50 a.m. to 8:40 p.m., Monday thru Saturday. Local transit service provided by Brownsville Metro is a popular way for international shoppers and visitors to get from the border to shopping destinations throughout the region. In fact, an estimated 40 percent of Brownsville Metro passengers that board buses at the downtown terminal are Mexican nationals, many of whom live just across the border.

Brownsville Metro and the Brownsville Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) have actively participated as members of the Regional Transit Advisory Panel (RTAP), a committee of individuals representing diverse public transportation needs, BUS and the Brownsville MPO helped to develop and begin implementation of a transportation coordination plan for Cameron, Hidalgo and Willacy Counties. Staff at TxDOT's Pharr District have participated at RTAP meetings, as well. The RTAP has outlined ways to more effectively "manage mobility" for the region.

The multi-modal terminal facility in Brownsville has helped to enhance interconnectivity with other transit systems in the region. Metro Connect is a collaborative program between Brownsville, McAllen and South Padre Island and the Valley Transit Company. This collaborative program offers affordable and convenient intercity bus service to residents and study. The system consists of three bus lines:

MPO's formal adoption of the Brownsville MPO's Texas Urbanized Area Mobility Plan, (TUMP). This TUMP document outlines the future revenues that will be allocated by TxDOT, the City of Brownsville, the Town of Rancho Viejo and the City of Los Fresnos towards these O&M needs.

The Pharr District's Maintenance Division periodically assesses its On-System pavement conditions to ensure that MPO's On-System roadways meet these requirements.

For more information about the revenues devoted towards maintenance within the short term, the reader is directed to the enclosed TIP Financial Summary or Spreadsheet, which outlines expenditures for seal coat and pavement overlays, as well as bridge repairs and replacements.

#### O. MPO Policies: Operational Improvements

Adding lanes to an existing roadway is one means of addressing congestion problems. TxDOT and local governments need to consider other alternative strategies which can provide good results in alding traffic flow. Other methods of dealing with congestion might suffice, such as: (1) to remedy existing roadway geometrics; or, (2) to Improve the traffic signal timing. Both strategies can help to improve traffic flow. Oftentimes, these types of improvements, known as operational improvements, provide less expensive solutions to congestion issues, as compared to adding capacity.

Limited room for right-of-way acquisition precludes the option of adding capacity (additional lanes) to deal with highway congestion on particular roadways within the Brownsville urbanized area. Several notable examples come to mind. Price Road has little or no space to install more travel lanes. When right-of-way cannot be made available, then other solutions must be pursued.

Operational improvements can be undertaken to significantly improve traffic flow on local highways and roadways. There are many opportunities for achieving signal efficiencies, most of which have larger implications in terms of elimination of delays and improving safety for motorists. To tackle such problems, the Brownsville MPO can utilize Category 7 funds.

The Brownsville MPO completed the MPO's Congestion & Delay Study, with the assistance of Jacobs Engineering Group Inc. in 2012. With a doubling of the area's population expected in coming decades, it is apparent that operational improvements are needed to accommodate expected increases in traffic volumes on area (off-system and on-system) roadways.

Increased funding for operational improvements will pay huge dividends to the community by lessening of congestion problems on many of Brownsville's roadways. Traffic Division staff at the City of Brownsville are working on design plans to upgrade traffic signals, install closed loop radio equipment, (to provide signal

- Transit asset management plan required for recipients of federal financial assistance
- Content and update frequency to be established by USDOT

#### Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan:

- Comprehensive agency safety plan required to be established by each designated recipient of federal transit funds
- Plan required within one year after effective date of a final issued by the USDOT to carry out the Public Transportation Safety Program

#### Transit Performance Reporting:

- 49 USC 5326(c)(3) requires each designated recipient (Note: Brownsville Metro is this MPO's designated recipient) of federal transit financial assistance to submit to FTA an annual report that describes (listed below):
- The progress of the recipient during the fiscal year towards meeting the performance targets established under paragraph two for that fiscal year
- The performance targets established by the recipient for the subsequent fiscal year

USDOT will establish state of good repair (SGR) standards for measuring the condition of capital assets of recipients including:

- Equipment
- Transit fleet
- Infrastructure
- Facilities

The MPO's MTP performance targets have not yet been established. The MPO's Congestion Management Process (CMP) will serve as a means of examining the effectiveness of TIP investments towards reaching MTP Performance targets. Accordingly, it is premature to predict how various projects selected for the MPO's TIP will fare in terms of reducing congestion, or even keeping congestion problems from worsening. Given the on-going population increases within the MPO's study area, the goal of forestalling increased congestion levels might be difficult to achieve.

#### Q. <u>Land Use: Transportation Connection</u>

Another method to address such future needs is by tackling these problems through adoption of new land use policies which direct and shape future growth within the MPO's communities. By utilization of "Smart Growth" policies, the future impacts upon the area transportation system can be sufficiently lessened or diminished to forestall some of the expected congestion problems.

In 2009, the Brownsville MPO examined the possible outcomes of smart growth policies. Study results of the MPO's examination of different transportation outcomes

#### **GLOSSARY**

#### PROJECT LISTINGS

CSJ Control Section Job Number - TXDOT assigned number for

projects entered into the Project Development Program (PDP).

PROJ ID Project Identification - Code assigned by the MPO for local

tracking/identification. Used to relate projects to the

Metropolitan Transportation Plan.

F. CLASS Federal Functional Class - Federal classification of streets and

highways into functional operating characteristics. Categories are:

-Interstate

-Other Urban Freeways and Expressways

-Other Principal Arterials

-Minor Arterials

-Urban Collectors and Rural Major Collectors

-Rural Minor Collectors

-Urban and Rural Local Streets and Roads

FED PROG Federal Funding Category-Major categories of federal funding as

established by the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21).

#### Categories are:

-IC Interstate Construction

-IM Interstate Maintenance

-NHS National Highway System

-STP Surface Transportation Program

-CMAQ Congestion & Mitigation Air Quality Funds

-Bridge On/Off System Bridge Rehabilitation

-DSB Donor State Bonus Funds
-MA Minimum Allocation Funds

-FLHP Federal Land Highway Program

-FTA Federal Transit Administration Funding

PHASE Project Phase for Federal Funding PE-Preliminary Engineering,

ROW-Right of Way Acquisition and C-Construction.

# **GROUPED PROJECT CSJs**

Table 1

Definition of Grouped Projects for use in the STIP Revised August 4, 2015

PROPOSED CSJ	GROUPED PROJECT CATEGORY	DEFINITION
5000-00-956	Landscaping	Project consisting of typical right-of-way landscape development, establishment and aesthetic improvements to include any associated erosion control and environmental mitigation activities.
5800-00-915	Intelligent Transportation System Deployment	Highway traffic operation improvement projects including the installation of ramp metering control devices, variable message signs, traffic monitoring equipment and projects in the Federal ITS/IVHS programs.
5000-00-916	Bicycle and Pedestrian	Construction or rehabilitation of bicycle and pedestrian lanes, paths and facilities.
5000-00-917	Safety Rest Areas and Truck Weigh Stations	Construction and improvement of rest areas, and truck weigh stations.
5000-00-918	Transit Improvements and Programs	Projects include the construction and improvement of small passenger shelters and information kiosks. Also includes the construction and improvement of rail storage/maintenance facilities bus transfer facilities where minor amounts of additional land are required and there is not a substantial increase in the number of users. Also includes transit operating assistance, acquisition of third-party transit services, and transit marketing, and mobility management/coordination.

Note 1. Projects funded with Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP), Transportation Enhancement, and Congestion Mitigation Air Quality funding require a Federal eligibility determination, and are not approved to be grouped.

Note 2: Projects funded as part of the Recreational Trails Program (RTP) consistent with the revised grouped project category definitions may be grouped. RTP projects that are not consistent with the revised grouped project category definitions must be individually noted in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP).

#### TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT FY 2017

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SP	ONSOR	YOE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	0039-08-101	1H-69E	С	Brownsville	TxDOT		\$6,000,000
LIMITS FROM:	At Union Pacific Ra	ilroad (UPRR)				REVISION DA	TE:	7/2016
LIMITS TO:						MPO PROJ N	UM:	BMPO-A14
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Construct SB Fronta	ge Rd Overpas	s			FUNDING CA	T(S):	Prop. 1 & Cat 1
REMARKS:					PROJECT HIST	DRY: N/A		<del></del>
TOTAL P	ROJECT COST INFORM	MATION	<u> </u>	AUTHO	RIZED FUNDING E	BY CATEGORY S	SHARE.	
PRELIM ENG	\$294,000	COST OF		FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
ROW PURCHASE	\$0	APPROVED	Cat 1	\$426,400	\$106,600	;	\$0 \$0	\$533,000
CONST COST	\$6,000,000	PHASES:	Prop 1	\$0	\$5,467,000	!	\$0 \$0	\$5,467,000
CONST ENG	\$382,800	\$6,000,000		\$0	\$0	;	\$0 \$0	\$0
CONTINGENCIES	\$77,400			\$0	\$0	:	\$0 \$0	\$0
INDIRECT	\$0			\$0	\$0	;	\$0 \$0	\$0
TOTAL PROJ COST	\$6,754,200		Total Funding by Share	\$426,400	\$5,573,600		\$0 \$0	\$6,000,000

PHASE: C = CONSTRUCTION

E = ENGINEERING

R = ROW

#### PHARR DISTRICT PROJECTS FY 2018

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPOR	NSOR	YOE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	0039-10-076	SH 4	C,E,R	Brownsville	Cameron County	RMA	\$6,783,301
LIMITS FROM:	SH 32					REVISION DATE	:	7/1/2016
LIMITS TO:	1.35 mile NE to prop	osed Port of Bri	ownsville Entrance R	d		MPO PROJ NUN	t:	BMPO-LP5
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Construct 2 lane und	divided roadway			FUNDING CAT(S):			Cat. 3
REMARKS:					PROJECT HIS	TORY: N/A		
TOTAL F	PROJECT COST INFORM	MATION		AUTHO	DRIZED FUNDIN	G BY CATEGORY SHA	ARE	
PRELIM ENG	\$391,344	COST OF		FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
ROW PURCHASE	\$1,304,481	APPROVED						
CONST COST	\$4,348,270	PHASES:	Local Cont/Pass Thru	\$0	:	\$0 \$0	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000
CONST ENG	\$391,344	\$6,783,301	Local	\$0	:	\$0 \$4,783,301	\$0	\$4,783,301
CONTING	\$347,862							
IND COSTS	\$0		1					
BOND FINANCING	\$0		Total Funding					
TOTAL PROJ COST	\$6,783,301		by Share	<b>\$</b> 0		\$0 \$4,783,301	\$2,000,000	\$6,783,301

PHASE: C = CONSTRUCTION

E = ENGINEERING

R = ROW

#### **TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT** FY 2017

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPOR	NSOR Y	OE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	0220-05-070	SH 48	C, E	Brownsville	City of Brownsvil	le	\$300,000
LIMITS FROM:	McLelland St					REVISION DATE	<b>:</b>	7/1/2016
LIMITS TO:	Medical St					MPO PROJ NUN	<b>1</b> :	BMPO-SS7
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Install Traffic Signal					FUNDING CAT(S	S):	Cat. 7
REMARKS:					PROJECT HIS	STORY: N/A		
TOTAL	PROJECT COST INFORM	MATION		AUTHO	ORIZED FUNDIN	G BY CATEGORY SH	ARE	
PRELIM ENG	\$60,000	COST OF		FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
ROW PURCHASE	\$0	APPROVED	Cat. 7	\$240,000		\$0 \$60,000	\$0	\$300,000
CONST COST	\$225,000	PHASES:		\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
CONST ENG	\$15,000	\$300,000		\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	SO
CONTING	\$5,000		1	\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
IND COSTS	\$1,000			\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL PROJ COST	\$306,000		Total Funding by Share	\$240,000	:	\$60,000	\$0	\$300,000

#### TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT FY 2017

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPOR	NSOR	YOE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	0220-05-071	SH 48	C, E	Brownsville	City of Brownsvil	le	\$104,000
LIMITS FROM:	Minnesota Ave.					REVISION DATE		7/1/2016
LIMITS TO:	FM 802					MPO PROJ NUM	<b>1</b> :	BMPO-SS3
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Optimize Signal Timi	ing				FUNDING CAT(S	5):	Cat. 7
REMARKS:					PROJECT HIS	STORY: N/A		
TOTAL F	PROJECT COST INFORM	AATION		AUTHO	DRIZED FUNDIN	G BY CATEGORY SH	ARE	
PRELIM ENG	\$20,800	COST OF		FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
ROW PURCHASE	\$0	APPROVED	Cat. 7	\$83,200		\$0 \$20,800	\$0	\$104,000
CONST COST	\$78,000	PHASES:		\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
CONST ENG	\$5,200	\$104,000		\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
CONTING	\$5,000			\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
IND COSTS	\$1,000			\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL PROJ COST	\$110,000		Total Funding by Share	\$83,200		\$0 \$20,800	\$0	\$104,000

PHASE: C = CONSTRUCTION

E = ENGINEERING

R = ROW T = TRANSFER

#### TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT FY 2017

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPO	NSOR	YOE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	0220-05-072	SH 48	C, E	Brownsville	City of Brownsvil	le	\$104,000
LIMITS FROM:	Wal-Mart Dr					REVISION DATE	≣:	7/1/2016
LIMITS TO:	FM 802					MPO PROJ NUM	A:	BMPO-SS2
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Optimize Signal Tin	ning				FUNDING CAT(	S):	Cat. 7
REMARKS:					PROJECT HIS	TORY: N/A		
TOTAL	PROJECT COST INFOR	MATION		AUTH	ORIZED FUNDIN	G BY CATEGORY SH	ARE	
PRELIM ENG	\$20,800			FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
ROW PURCHASE	\$0	DUACEC.	Cat. 7	\$83,200		\$0 \$20,800		\$104,000
CONST COST	\$78,000	4		\$0		\$0 \$0	*-	\$0
CONST ENG	\$5,200	\$104,000		\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
CONTING	\$5,000			\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
IND COSTS	\$1,000		1	\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL PROJ COST	\$110,000		Total Funding by Share	\$83,200	;	\$0 \$20,800	\$0	\$104,000

PHASE: C = CONSTRUCTION

E = ENGINEERING

R = ROW

#### **TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT** FY 2017

DISTRICT	cou	NTY (	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPO	NSOR	YOE COST
21 - Pharr	Came	non	0684-01-068	SH 550	C, E	Brownsville	CCRMA		\$17,424,266
LIMITS FROM:	.203	mi S of FM 184	7				REVISION DAT	E:	7/1/2016
LIMITS TO:	1.13	mi SE of UPRR	Overpass at FI	A 3248			MPO PROJ NU	M:	BMPO-RM6
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Cons	truct controlled	access tolled fa	cility, length approxi	nately 3.9 miles		FUNDING CAT(	S):	Cat. 12 & 7, Local (VRF)
REMARKS:						PROJECT HIST	ORY: N/A	***	
TOTAL PI	SOJEC	COST INFORM	ATION		AUTH	ORIZED FUNDING	BY CATEGORY SH	IARE	
PRELIM ENG	\$	480,000 00	COST OF		FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
CONST COST		\$14,911,266	APPROVED	Cat. 12 (VRF)	\$6,483,680	\$1,620,920	) \$0	) \$(	\$8,104,600
CONST ENG		\$847,000	PHASES:	Cat. 7	\$4,400,000	\$1,100,000	) \$6	) \$C	\$5,500,000
CONTING		\$1,186,000	\$17,424,266	Local Contribution	\$0	\$0	50	\$3,819,666	\$3,819,666
IND COSTS	4	- 13		1	\$0	\$0	) S0	\$0	\$0
POTENTIAL CH ORD					\$0	\$0	\$0	) \$0	\$0
TOTAL PROJ COST	Die.	\$17,424,266		Total Funding by Share	\$10,883,680	\$2,720,920	\$0	\$3,819,666	\$17,424,266

#### **TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT** FY 2017

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPO	NSOR '	YOE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	0684-02-027	Indiana Ave Realign	E	Brownsville	City of Brownsvii	le	\$312,500
LIMITS FROM:	0.1 mile North of Ca	lifornia Rd				REVISION DATI	≣:	7/1/2016
LIMITS TO:	0.62 mile North of F	M 1419 (Southr	nost Rd)			MPO PROJ NUM	Æ:	BMPO-E11
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Construct 2 lane run	al roadway (Stud	dy Phases I, II)			FUNDING CAT(	5):	Cat. 7
REMARKS:	E = Preliminary Eng	ineering			PROJECT HIS	STORY: N/A		
TOTAL	PROJECT COST INFORM	IATION		AUTHO	RIZED FUNDIN	G BY CATEGORY SH	ARE	
PRELIM ENG	\$312,500		ſ	FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
ROW PURCHASE	\$0	APPROVED	Cat 7	\$250,000		\$0 \$62,500	\$0	\$312,500
CONST COST	\$2,000,000	PHASES:		\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
CONST ENG	\$100,000	\$312,500		\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
CONTING	\$90,000			\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
IND COSTS	\$20,000			\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL PROJ COST	\$2,522,500	5	Total Funding by Share	\$250,000		\$0 \$62,500	\$0	\$312,500

#### PHARR DISTRICT PROJECTS FY 2018

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPO	ONSOR	YOE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	0921-06-207	N/A	С	Brownsville	TxDOT		\$9,555,000
LIMITS FROM:	Vicinity of GSA Facil	ity at Brownsvilk	9			REVISION DAT	re:	7/1/2016
LIMITS TO:	Los Tomates Interna	itional Bridge				MPO PROJ NU	IM:	BMPO-LS17
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Border Inspection Fa	icility				FUNDING CAT	(S):	Cat 10
REMARKS:					PROJECT HISTO	DRY: N/A		
TOTAL	PROJECT COST INFORM	AATION	1	AUTHO	 RIZED FUNDING B	Y CATEGORY SH	IARE	
PRELIM ENG	\$0	COST OF		FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
<b>ROW PURCHASE</b>	\$1,950,000	APPROVED						
CONST COST	\$6,696,802	PHASES:	Cat 10	\$910,797	\$227,699	1	io \$0	\$1,138,496
CONST ENG	\$600,000	\$9,776,602	Cat 10	\$5,361,504	\$0	\$	iO \$0	\$5,361,504
CONTING	\$530,000		TxDOT Contribution	\$0	\$3,055,000	5	50 \$0	\$3,055,000
IND COSTS	\$0							
BOND FINANCING	\$0		Total Funding	EC 020 004	<b>6</b> 2 222 522			******
TOTAL PROJ COST	\$9,776,802		by Share	\$6,272,301	\$3,282,699	3	50 \$0	\$9,555,000

PHASE: C = CONSTRUCTION E = ENGINEERING R = ROW

#### **TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT** FY 2017

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPO	NSOR	YOE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	0921-06-278	Momingside Rd	C, E	Brownsville	City of Brownsvil	le	\$200,000
LIMITS FROM:	Morningside Rd / Ce	ntral Ave				REVISION DATE		7/1/2016
LIMITS TO:	500 ft each approach	1				MPO PROJ NUK	A:	BMPO-SS6
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Install traffic signal					FUNDING CAT(	5):	Cat. 7
REMARKS:					PROJECT HIS	TORY: N/A	_	<del>.</del>
TOTAL F	PROJECT COST INFORM	ATION		AUTHO	RIZED FUNDING	G BY CATEGORY SH	ARE	
PRELIM ENG	\$40,000	COST OF		FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
ROW PURCHASE	\$0	APPROVED	Cat. 7	\$160,000		\$0 \$40,000	\$0	\$200,000
CONST COST	\$150,000	PHASES:		\$0		\$0 <b>\$</b> 0	\$0	\$0
CONST ENG	\$10,000	\$200,000		\$0		\$0 <b>\$</b> 0	\$0	\$0
CONTING	\$5,000			\$0		iO \$0	\$0	\$0
IND COSTS	\$1,000			\$0		i0 \$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL PROJ COST	\$206,000		Total Funding by Share	\$160,000		\$40,000	\$0	\$200,000

PHASE: C = CONSTRUCTION E = ENGINEERING

R = ROW

#### **TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT** FY 2017

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPO	NSOR	YOE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	0921-06-279	Morningside Rd	C, E	Brownsville	City of Brownsvil	lle	\$234,000
LIMITS FROM:	at Apollo Rd					REVISION DATE	≣:	7/1/2016
LIMITS TO:	500 ft each approac	h				MPO PROJ NUM	A:	BMPO-SS4
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Install Traffic Signal					FUNDING CAT(	S):	Cat. 7
REMARKS:					PROJECT HIS	STORY: N/A		<del> </del>
TOTAL	PROJECT COST INFORM	MATION		AUTH	I ORIZED FUNDIN	IG BY CATEGORY SH	ARE	
PRELIM ENG	\$46,800			FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
ROW PURCHASE	\$0	APPROVED	Cat. 7	\$187,200		\$0 \$46,800	\$0	\$234,000
CONST COST	\$175,500	PHASES:		\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
CONST ENG	\$11,700	\$234,000		\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
CONTING	\$5,000	j	1	\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
IND COSTS	\$1,000			\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL PROJ COST	\$240,000		Total Funding by Share	\$187,200		\$0 \$46,800		\$234,000

PHASE: C = CONSTRUCTION E = ENGINEERING

R = ROW T = TRANSFER

#### TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT FY 2017

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPO	NSOR	YOE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	0921-06-280	N/A	C,E	Brownsville	City of Brownsvi	lle	\$375,000
LIMITS FROM:	Manzano St.		Southmost Trail	Southmost Trail REVISION DATE:				
LIMITS TO:	La Posada near Mo	ntezuma Cypres	s Preserve			MPO PROJ NUA	A:	BMPO-ST
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Establish a concrete 10' wide trail; (Length 0.8 miles). Phase I (One) FUNDING CAT(S):							Cat.7
REMARKS:	E=Construction Eng	ineering						
TOTAL F	PROJECT COST INFORM	AATION	Τ-	AUTHO	ORIZED FUNDI	NG BY CATEGORY SH	ARE	_
CONST COST	\$356,250	COST OF		FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
CONST ENG	\$18,750	APPROVED	Cat. 7	\$300,000		\$0 \$75,000		\$375,000
CONTING	\$26,250	PHASES:	Local	\$0		\$0 \$0	+-	\$0,000
IND COST	\$2,000	\$375,000		\$0		\$0 \$0		\$0
			1	\$0		\$0 \$0	**	\$0
				\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
	\$403,250		Total Funding by Share	\$300,000		\$0 \$75,000	\$0	\$375,000

#### TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT FY 2017

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPOR	NSOR	YOE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	0921-06-284	N/A	С	Brownsville	City of Brownsvill	le	\$178,375
LIMITS FROM:	Various Roadways		(District 3 Rapid	Implementation)		REVISION DATE	(2)	7/1/2016
LIMITS TO:	Various Roadways					MPO PROJ NUM	1:	BMPO-TP2
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Add markings for sh	ared lanes and o	designated bike lai	nes		FUNDING CAT(5	5):	Cat .9
REMARKS:					PROJECT HI	STORY: N/A		
TOTAL	PROJECT COST INFORM	MATION		AUTHO	ORIZED FUNDIN	IG BY CATEGORY SH	ARE	
ROW PURCHASE	\$0	COST OF		FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
CONST COST	\$178,375	APPROVED	Cat. 9	\$142,700		\$0 \$35,675	\$0	\$178,375
CONST ENG	\$8,200	PHASES:		\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
CONTING	\$1,000	\$178,375	1	\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
IND COSTS	\$0			\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
				\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL PROJ COST	\$187,575		Total Funding by Share	\$142,700		\$0 \$35,675	\$0	\$178,375

PHASE: C = CONSTRUCTION

E = ENGINEERING

R = ROW

#### **TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT** FY 2017

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPOR	SOR Y	OE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	0921-06-285	N/A	С	Brownsville	City of Brownsvil	le	\$177,250
LIMITS FROM:	Various Roadways					REVISION DATE		7/1/2016
LIMITS TO:	Various Roadways					MPO PROJ NUN	1:	BMPO-TP1
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Add markings for sha	ared lanes and (	designated bike lan	es		FUNDING CAT(	3):	Cat. 9
REMARKS:	International Blvd Bil	ce Routes			PROJECT HIS	TORY: N/A		
TOTAL	PROJECT COST INFORM	ATION	T	AUTHO	ORIZED FUNDING	G BY CATEGORY SH	ARE	·
ROW PURCHASE	\$0	COST OF		FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
CONST COST	\$177,250	APPROVED	Cat. 9	\$141,800	9	\$0 \$35,450	\$0	\$177,250
CONST ENG	\$7,800	PHASES:		\$0	9	so so	\$0	\$0
CONTING	\$1,000	\$177,250	1	\$0	:	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
IND COSTS	\$0			\$0	5	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
				\$0	;	\$0 <b>\$</b> 0	\$0	50
TOTAL PROJ COST	\$186,050		Total Funding by Share	\$141,800	\$	\$35,450	\$0	\$177,250

PHASE: C = CONSTRUCTION E = ENGINEERING

R = ROW T = TRANSFER

#### **TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT** FY 2017

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPOR	ISOR	YOE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	0921-06-286	N/A	С	Los Fresnos	City of Los Fresn	os	\$349,802
LIMITS FROM:	W of Oleander St		(Los Fresnos We	est Schools Connection	on)	REVISION DATE	<u>:</u>	7/1/2016
LIMITS TO:	W of Longhorn Ln					MPO PROJ NUM	l:	BMPO-TE4
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Construct sidewalks					FUNDING CAT(S	3):	Cat.9
REMARKS:	PROJECT COST INFORM	IATION	1		PROJECT HIS	TORY: N/A  G BY CATEGORY SH	ARE	
PRELIM ENG	\$29,000	COST OF		FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
ROW PURCHASE	\$0	APPROVED	Cat. 9	\$279,841		\$0 \$69,961	\$0	\$349,802
CONST COST	\$349,802	PHASES:	Local	\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
CONST ENG	\$19,000	\$349,802		\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
CONTING	\$18,500		1	\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
IND COSTS	\$11,000			\$0	:	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL PROJ COST	\$427,302		Total Funding by Share	\$279,841		\$69,961	\$0	\$349,802

#### **TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT** FY 2017

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPON	ISOR	YOE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	0921-06-287	N/A	С	Los Fresnos	City of Los Fresn	os	\$223,625
LIMITS FROM:	Alvarez Court					REVISION DATE	<b>:</b>	7/1/2016
LIMITS TO:	SH 100					MPO PROJ NUM	l:	BMPO-TE3
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Construct sidewalks					FUNDING CAT(S	6):	Cat. 9
REMARKS:	Los Fresnos South R	Residential Conf	ection		PROJECT HIS	TORY: N/A		
TOTAL F	PROJECT COST INFORM	ATION	Τ	AUTHO	 	G BY CATEGORY SHA	ARE	
PRELIM ENG	\$22,000	COST OF	1	FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
ROW PURCHASE	\$0	APPROVED	Cat. 9	\$178,900		30 <b>\$</b> 44,725	\$0	\$223,625
CONST COST	\$223,625	PHASES:	Local	\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
CONST ENG	\$17,500	\$223,625	1	\$0	•	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
CONTING	\$16,000			\$0	•	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
IND COSTS	\$9,500			\$0	:	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL PROJ COST	\$288,625		Total Funding by Share	\$178,900	1	so \$44,725	\$0	\$223,625

#### **TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT** FY 2017

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE C	CITY	PROJECT SPOR	NSOR	YOE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	0921-06-288	SH 32/Port Conn	E B	Brownsville	Brownsville Navi	gation District	\$513,700
LIMITS FROM:	SH 4					REVISION DATE		7/1/2016
LIMITS TO:	Ostos Rd					MPO PROJ NUM	A:	BMPO-E6
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Roadway Study Pha	ses I, II				FUNDING CAT(	S):	Cat. 7
REMARKS:				P	PROJECT HIST	ORY: N/A		
				1				
TOTAL	PROJECT COST INFORM	ATION		AUTHOR	RIZED FUNDING	BY CATEGORY SH	ARE	<u> </u>
	PROJECT COST INFORM	COST OF		AUTHOR	RIZED FUNDING	BY CATEGORY SH	ARE Local Contribution	TOTAL
PRELIM ENG		COST OF APPROVED	Cat. 7			LOCAL	_	
PRELIM ENG ROW PURCHASE	\$513,700	COST OF	Cat. 7 Local Contribution	FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL \$62,500	Local Contribution \$0	\$312,500
PRELIM ENG ROW PURCHASE CONST COST CONST ENG	\$513,700 \$0	COST OF APPROVED		FEDERAL \$250,000	STATE	LOCAL \$62,500 \$0	Local Contribution \$0 \$201,200	\$312,500 \$201,200
PRELIM ENG ROW PURCHASE CONST COST CONST ENG	\$513,700 \$0 \$10,000,008	COST OF APPROVED PHASES:		FEDERAL \$250,000 \$0	STATE \$0 \$0 \$0	LOCAL \$62,500 \$0 \$0	Local Contribution \$0 \$201,200 \$0	\$312,500 \$201,200 \$0
PRELIM ENG ROW PURCHASE CONST COST	\$513,700 \$0 \$10,000,000 \$680,000	COST OF APPROVED PHASES:		FEDERAL \$250,000 \$0 \$0	STATE \$0 \$0	LOCAL \$62,500 \$0 \$0 \$0	Local Contribution \$0 \$201,200	\$312,500 \$201,200

#### **TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT** FY 2017

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPO	NSOR '	YOE COST
21 - Pharr	Carneron	0921-06-290	Old Alice Rd	E	Brownsville	Cameron County	,	\$750,000
LIMITS FROM:	SH 550					REVISION DATE	<b>:</b> :	7/1/2016
LIMITS TO:	SH 100					MPO PROJ NUM	A:	BMPO-E2
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Construct 4 lane urb	oan roadway (Stu	idy Phases I, II, III)			FUNDING CAT(	S):	Cat. 7
REMARKS:	E = Preliminary Eng	ineering			PROJECT HIS	STORY: N/A		
TOTAL F	PROJECT COST INFORM	AATION		AUTH	ORIZED FUNDIN	G BY CATEGORY SH	ARE	
PRELIM ENG	\$750,000	COST OF		FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
ROW PURCHASE	\$0	APPROVED	Cat 7	\$600,000		\$0 \$150,000	\$0	\$750,000
CONST COST	\$5,000,000	PHASES:		\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
CONST ENG	\$110,000	\$750,000		\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
CONTING	\$50,000			\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
IND COSTS	\$20,000			\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL PROJ COST	\$5,930,000		Total Funding by Share	\$600,000		\$0 \$150,000	\$0	\$750,000

PHASE: C = CONSTRUCTION E = ENGINEERING

R = ROW T = TRANSFER

#### **TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT** FY 2017

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PRO	JECT SPOR	ISOR	YOE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	0921-06-291	Morrison Rd.	E	Brownsville	City	City of Brownsville		\$200,000
LIMITS FROM:	FM 1847					REV	ISION DATE	i:	7/1/2016
LIMITS TO:	FM 511					мРС	PROJ NUM	1:	BMPO-E3
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Construct 4 lane urb	oan roadway (St	FUNDING CAT(S):				3):	Cat. 7	
REMARKS:	E = Preliminary Eng	ineering	PROJECT HISTORY: N/A						
TOTAL F	TOTAL PROJECT COST INFORMATION			AUTHORIZED FUNDING BY CATEGORY SHARE					
PRELIM ENG	\$200,000	COST OF		FEDERAL	STATE		LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
ROW PURCHASE	\$150,000	APPROVED	Cat 7	\$160,000		\$0	\$40,000	\$0	\$200,000
CONST COST	\$21,000,000	PHASES:		\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
CONST ENG	\$900,000	\$200,000	1	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
CONTING	\$200,000		1	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
IND COSTS	\$150,000			\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL PROJ COST	\$22,600,000	7	Total Funding by Share	\$160,000		\$0	\$40,000	\$0	\$200,000

PHASE: C = CONSTRUCTION

E = ENGINEERING

R = ROW T = TRANSFER

#### **TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT** FY 2017

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPO	NSOR 1	OE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	0921-06-292	Whipple Road	E	Los Fresnos	City of Los Fresnos		\$380,300
LIMITS FROM:	FM 1575					REVISION DATE	<u>:</u>	7/1/2016
LIMITS TO:	FM 1847					MPO PROJ NUK	1:	BMPO-E5
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Proposed 2 lane road (Study Phases I, II, II		nuous left turn lane	FUNDING CAT(S):				Cat. 7
REMARKS:	E = Preliminary Engl	neering						
TOTAL PROJECT COST INFORMATION				AUTHORIZED FUNDING BY CATEGORY SHARE				
PRELIM ENG	\$380,300	COST OF	1	FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
ROW PURCHASE	\$0	APPROVED PHASES: \$380,300	Cal. 7	\$304,200		\$0 \$76,100	\$0	\$380,300
CONST COST	\$1,600,000			\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
CONST ENG	\$48,000			\$0	,	so so	\$0	\$0
CONTING	\$18,000			\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
IND COSTS	\$20,000			\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL PROJ COST	\$2,066,300		Total Funding by Share	\$304,200		\$76,100	\$0	\$380,300

PHASE: C = CONSTRUCTION E = ENGINEERING

R = ROW

#### **TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT** FY 2017

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPONSOR		YOE COST	
21 - Pharr	Cameron	0921-06-293	West Boulevard	E	Brownsville	City of Brownsville		\$1,000,000	
LIMITS FROM:	Palm Blvd					REVISION DATE	<b>≣:</b>	7/1/2016	
LIMITS TO:	I-69E					MPO PROJ NUA	A:	BMPO-E7	
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Proposed multimoda	al corridor (Study	Phases I, II, III, IV)	FUNDING CAT(S):				Cat. 7	
REMARKS:	E = Preliminary Engi	ineering		PROJECT HISTORY: N/A					
TOTAL PROJECT COST INFORMATION			AUTHORIZED FUNDING BY CATEGORY SHARE						
PRELIM ENG	\$1,000,000			FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL	
ROW PURCHASE	\$0	APPROVED PHASES:	Cat. 7	\$800,000	s	0 \$200,000	\$0	\$1,000,000	
CONST COST	\$1,000,000			\$0	s	0 \$0	\$0	\$0	
CONST ENG	\$110,000	\$1,000,000	1	\$0	5	0 \$0	\$0	\$0	
CONTING	\$50,000			\$0	s	0 \$0	\$0	\$0	
IND COSTS	\$0			\$0	\$	0 \$0	\$0	\$0	
TOTAL PROJ COST	\$2,160,000		Total Funding by Share	\$800,000	s	0 \$200,000	\$0	\$1,000,000	

PHASE: C = CONSTRUCTION E = ENGINEERING

R = ROW

### **TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT** FY 2017

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPO	NSOR	YOE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	0921-06-303	VA	C, E	Brownsville	City of Brownsvil	le	\$300,803
LIMITS FROM:	Brownsville Bike/Pe	d Improvement	S			REVISION DATE		5/2016
LIMITS TO:	Various Roads					MPO PROJ NUN	A:	BMPO-TE13
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Construction of side bicycle facilities	walks, crosswal	ks, & paved shared	d use paths for trails for	or pedestrian &	FUNDING CAT(	5):	Cat. 9
REMARKS:	The Construction Co safety improvements			tes. Project entails	PROJECT HIST	ORY: N/A		
TOTAL	PROJECT COST INFORM	ATION		AUTHO	DRIZED FUNDING	BY CATEGORY SH	ARE	
PRELIM ENG	\$14,739	COST OF		FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
ROW PURCHASE	\$0	APPROVED	Cat. 9	\$240,642	\$(	\$60,161	\$0	\$300.803
CONST COST	\$300,803	PHASES:	Local	\$0	\$(	10.55		\$0.00
CONST ENG	\$19,191	\$300,803		\$0	\$(	\$0		\$0
IND COSTS	\$19,191			\$0	\$6	\$0	\$0	\$0
			1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
			1	\$0	34	. 40	30	90

PHASE: C = CONSTRUCTION E = ENGINEERING

R = ROW

# TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT FY 2018

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPO	NSOR '	OE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	0921-06-304	CS	C, E	Brownsville	City of Brownsvil	le	\$812,862
LIMITS FROM:	BMetro Eastside Tra	insfer Station				REVISION DATE	<b>E</b> :	5/2016
LIMITS TO:	at Jose Colunga Jr.	& Billy Mitchell				MPO PROJ NUM	A:	BMPO-TE14
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Construct Bus Facilit Lighting & Striping, L			utter, Drainage Struc	tures, Canopy,	FUNDING CAT(	5):	Cat. 9
REMARKS:	The Construction Co		Engineering Service	ces	PROJECT HIS	STORY: N/A		Cat. 3
TOTAL F	PROJECT COST INFORM	IATION		AUTH	IORIZED FUNDIN	G BY CATEGORY SH	ARE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
PRELIM ENG	\$39,830	COST OF		FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
ROW PURCHASE	\$0	APPROVED	Cat. 9	\$407,486	6	\$0 \$101,872	\$0	\$509,358
CONST COST	\$812,862	PHASES:	Local	S	0	\$0 \$303,504	\$0	\$303,504
CONST ENG	\$51,861	\$812,882		\$(	D	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
IND COSTS	\$51,861			\$(	0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
				\$0	3	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL PROJ COST	\$956,414		Total Funding by Share	\$407,486	3	\$0 \$405,376	\$0	\$812,862

PHASE: C = CONSTRUCTION E = ENGINEERING

R = ROW

### TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT FY 2018

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPO	NSOR	YOE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	1426-01-037	SH 32 (East Loop)	C,E,R	Brownsville	Cameron County	RMA	\$22,358,430
LIMITS FROM:	FM 1419					REVISION DATE	<b>Ξ</b> :	7/1/2016
LIMITS TO:	FM 3068					MPO PROJ NUK	A:	BMPO-LP5
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Widen to 4 lane divid	ted urban				FUNDING CAT(	S): L.o	cal (PT Financing) Local - Cat 3
REMARKS:		nd state funds).	nbursed in future Pas NOTE: ROW includ	es \$1,000,000 for		TORY: N/A	ADE	
TOTACT	NOSEOT COST IIII CITIE	A11014		AUIH	CRIZED FUNDIN	G BT CATEGORT SH	ARE	
	2			FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
PRELIM ENG	\$900,000	COST OF	Cat. 1	\$0		\$0 \$0	s	D \$0
ROW PURCHASE	\$4,000,000	APPROVED	Cat. 6	\$0		so so	S	D \$0
CONST COST	\$16,578,430	PHASES:	Cat. 8	\$0		\$0 \$0	s	+-
CONST ENG	\$880,000	\$22,358,430	Prop. 12	\$0		50 50	•	
CONTING	\$800,000		Local Contribution	\$0		60 <b>\$</b> 0	\$18,160,00	
IND COSTS	\$0		Local - Cat. 3	\$0		\$0 <b>\$</b> 4,198,430		
BOND FINANCING	\$0		Total Funding	-	·			* *************************************
TOTAL PROJ COST	\$23,158,430		by Share	\$0	•	\$4,198,430	\$18,160,000	\$22,358,430

PHASE: C = CONSTRUCTION

E = ENGINEERING

R = ROW

### TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT FY 2018

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPON	ISOR_	YOE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	1426-01-043	SH 32 (East Loop)	C,E,R	Brownsville	Cameron County	RMA	\$5,065,560
LIMITS FROM:	FM 3550					REVISION DATE	<u>:</u>	7/1/2016
LIMITS TO:	FM 3551					MPO PROJ NUM	l:	BMPO-LP5
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Construct 2 lane roa	d				FUNDING CAT(S	i): Loca	I (PT Financing) Local - Cat. 3
TOTAL P		nd state funds).	bursed in future Pass NOTE: ROW cost in	ncludes \$100,000	PROJECT HIS	TORY: N/A	ARF	
				FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
PRELIM ENG	\$297,000	COST OF	Cat. 1	\$0	1	iO \$0	\$0	\$0
ROW PURCHASE	\$900,000	APPROVED	Cat. 6	\$0		i0 \$0	\$0	\$0
CONST COST	\$3,301,560	PHASES:	Cat. 8	\$0		io \$0	02	\$0
CONST ENG	\$297,000	\$5,065,560	Prop. 12	\$0		io \$0	80	\$0
CONTING	\$270,000		Local Contribution	\$0		io <b>s</b> o	\$4,000,000	\$4,000,000
IND COSTS	\$0		Cat. 3 - Local	\$0		\$1,065,560	\$0	\$1,065,560
BOND FINANCING	\$0		Total Funding					
TOTAL PROJ COST	\$5,065,560		by Share	\$0	1	0 \$1,065,560	\$4,000,000	\$5,065,560

PHASE: C = CONSTRUCTION E = ENGINEERING R = ROW T = TRANSFER

### **TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT** FY 2017

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPO	NSOR	YOE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	1426-01-047	FM 1419	C, E	Brownsville	City of Brownsvi	le	\$416,000
LIMITS FROM:	International Blvd					REVISION DATI	<b>:</b> :	7/1/2016
LIMITS TO:	23rd St					MPO PROJ NUM	A:	BMPO-SS1
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Install Traffic Signal					FUNDING CAT(	S):	Cat. 7
REMARKS:					PROJECT HIS	STORY: N/A		
TOTAL F	PROJECT COST INFOR	MATION	8.	AUTH	<u> </u>  ORIZED FUNDIN	IG BY CATEGORY SH	ARE	
PRELIM ENG	\$83,200	COST OF	502.00	FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
ROW PURCHASE	\$0	APPROVED	Cat. 7	\$332,800	•	\$0 \$83,200	\$0	\$416,000
CONST COST	\$312,000	PHASES:		\$0	)	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
CONST ENG	\$20,800	\$416,000		\$0	1	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
CONTING	\$5,000			\$0	)	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
IND COSTS	\$1,000			\$0	)	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL PROJ COST	\$422,000		Total Funding by Share	\$332,800	1	\$0 \$83,200	\$0	\$416,000

PHASE: C = CONSTRUCTION E = ENGINEERING R = ROW

### TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT FY 2018

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPO	NSOR '	YOE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	1426-01-048	SH 32/E. Loop	E, R	Brownsville	Cameron County	RMA	\$875,000
LIMITS FROM:	1-69E					REVISION DATE	<b>=</b> :	7/1/2016
LIMITS TO:	SH 4					MPO PROJ NUM	A:	BMPO-E4
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Roadway Study & A	acquisition of RO	W (Phases I, II, III)			FUNDING CAT(	S):	Cat. 7
REMARKS:					PROJECT HIS	TORY: N/A		
TOTAL	PROJECT COST INFOR	MATION		AUTHO	RIZED FUNDIN	G BY CATEGORY SH	ARE	
PRELIM ENG	\$875,000		(3)	FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
ROW PURCHASE	\$0	DILLACCO.	Category 7 Cost:	\$700,000		\$0 \$175,000	\$0	\$875,000
CONST COST	\$0	i		\$0		\$0 50	\$0	\$0
CONST ENG	\$0	•		\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
CONTING	\$0	i i		\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
IND COSTS	\$0	!	Local Contribution:	\$0	;	02 02	\$0	\$0
TOTAL PROJ COST	: \$875,000		Total Funding by Share:	\$700,000	:	\$0 \$175,000	\$0	\$875,000

PHASE: C = CONSTRUCTION E = ENGINEERING R = ROW T = TRANSFER

### **TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT** FY 2017

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPO	NSOR	YOE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	1504-01-035	Intl./ SH 4	C, E	Brownsville	City of Brownsvi	le	\$100,000
LIMITS FROM:	Polk					REVISION DAT	Ξ:	7/1/2016
LIMITS TO:	I-69 Southbound Fro	ntage Rd				MPO PROJ NUI	И:	BMPO-SS5
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Install Traffic Signal					FUNDING CAT(	S):	Cat. 7
REMARKS:					PROJECT HI	STORY: N/A		
TOTAL	PROJECT COST INFORM	IATION		AUTH	ORIZED FUNDI	NG BY CATEGORY SH	ARE	
PRELIM ENG	\$20,000	COST OF		FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
ROW PURCHASE	\$0	APPROVED	Cat. 7	\$80,000	I	\$0 \$20,000	\$0	\$100,000
CONST COST	\$75,000	PHASES:	1	\$0	1	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
CONST ENG	\$5,000	\$100,000	ľ	\$0	1	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
CONTING	\$5,000			\$0	1	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
IND COSTS	\$1,000			\$0	1	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
	19997		Total Funding	\$80,000		50 \$20,000	en.	£400 000
TOTAL PROJ COST	\$106,000		by Share	\$60,000	1	30 \$20,000	\$0	\$100,000

PHASE: C = CONSTRUCTION E = ENGINEERING R = ROW T = TRANSFER

### **TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT** FY 2018

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPOR	ISOR	YOE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	3626-01-001	SH 32 (East Loop)	C,E,R	Brownsville	Cameron County	RMA	\$47,025,500
LIMITS FROM:	US 77/83 near Veter	rans Internationa	ıl Bridge			REVISION DATE	:	7/1/2016
LIMITS TO:	FM 1419 (Paloma Bi	lanca)				MPO PROJ NUM	l:	BMPO-LP4
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Construct 6 lane divi (East Ave to FM 141	•	77/83 to East Ave) &	4 lane divided urba	an	FUNDING CAT(S		al (PT Financing), cal, 10 - Earmark
REMARKS:		g federal and sta	imbursed in future Pa ate funds). NOTE: R		PROJECT HIS	TORY: N/A		
TOTAL P	ROJECT COST INFORM	MATION		AUTH	ORIZED FUNDIN	G BY CATEGORY SHA	ARE	
				FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Local Contribution	TOTAL
PRELIM ENG	\$2,189,000	COST OF	Cat. 1	\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
ROW PURCHASE	\$6,000,000	APPROVED	Cat. 6	\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
CONST COST	\$36,647,500	PHASES:	Cat. 10	\$911,515	:	<b>\$0 \$0</b>	\$0	\$911,515
CONST ENG	\$2,189,000	\$47,025,500	Prop. 12	\$0	:	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
CONTING	\$1,990,000		Cat. 3 - Pass Thru	\$0	:	\$0 \$0	\$24,378,000	\$24,378,000
IND COSTS	\$0		Cat: 3 - Local	\$0		\$21,735,985	\$0	\$21,735,985
BOND FINANCING	\$0		Total Funding	\$044.E4E			*******	
TOTAL PROJ COST	\$49,015.500		by Share	\$911,515	;	\$21,735,985	\$24,378,000	\$47,025,500

PHASE: C = CONSTRUCTION E = ENGINEERING R = ROW

### **TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT** FY 2018

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT S	PONSO	R Y	OE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	3626-02-001	SH 32 (East Loop)	C,E,R	Brownsville	Cameron Co	unty RM	Α	\$15,922,000
LIMITS FROM:	FM 3068					REVISION D	ATE:		7/1/2016
LIMITS TO:	FM 3550					MPO PROJ N	NUM:		BMPO-LP5
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Construct 2 lane roa	dway on new loc	ation			FUNDING CA	AT(S):	Local	(PT Financing) Local - Cat.3
REMARKS:	\$8,000,000 of these (PTF-using federal a utilities.			•	PROJECT HIST	ORY: N/A			
TOTAL P	ROJECT COST INFORM	MATION		AUTH	ORIZED FUNDING	BY CATEGORY	SHARE		
PRELIM ENG	\$660,000	COST OF	!	FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	Loc	al Contribution	TOTAL
ROW PURCHASE	\$700,000	APPROVED	Cat. 11	\$4,241,600	\$1,060,400	)	\$0	\$0	\$5,302,000
CONST COST	\$13,302,000	PHASES:	Local Cat. 3 Pass Thru	\$0	\$0	)	\$0	\$10,620,000	\$10,620,000
CONST ENG	\$660,000	\$15,922,000	1	\$0	\$0	)	\$0	\$0	\$0
CONTING	\$600,000			\$0	\$0	)	\$0	\$0	\$0
IND COSTS	\$0			\$0	\$0	)	\$0	\$0	\$0
BOND FINANCING	\$0		Total Funding	£1.044.000	#4.000.400		**	£40 500 000	£45 000 000
TOTAL PROJ COST	\$15,922,000		by Share	\$4,241,600	\$1,060,400	1	\$0	\$10,620,000	\$15,922,000

PHASE: C = CONSTRUCTION

E = ENGINEERING R = ROW T = TRANSFER

### **TXDOT PHARR DISTRICT** FY 2018

DISTRICT	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	PROJECT SPO	NSOR	YOE COST
21 - Pharr	Cameron	3626-03-001	SH 32 (East Loop)	C,E,R	Brownsville	Cameron County	RMA	\$3,965,640
LIMITS FROM:	FM 3551					REVISION DATI	Ξ:	7/1/2016
LIMITS TO:	SH 4					MPO PROJ NUM	A:	BMPO-LP5
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Construct 2 lane road	dway on new loo	cation			FUNDING CAT(	S): Loc	al (PT Financing) Local - Cat, 3
REMARKS:	(PTF-using federal a in utilities.	nd state funds).	nbursed in future Pas NOTE: ROW cost in	ncludes \$75,000				
TOTAL F	PROJECT COST INFORM	ATION	1	AUTH	IORIZED FUNDIN	IG BY CATEGORY SH		
			1				LOCAL	
PRELIM ENG	2010.000	COST OF		FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	CONTRIBUTION	TOTAL
ROW PURCHASE	\$242,000	APPROVED	Cat. 1	\$0		\$0 \$0	**	\$0
	\$500,000	PHASES:	Cat. 6	\$0		\$0 \$0		\$0
CONST COST	\$2,761,640		Cat. 8	\$0		\$0 \$0	*-	\$0
CONST ENG	\$242,000	\$3,965,640	Prop. 12	\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
CONTING	\$220,000		Local Contribution	\$0	)	\$0 \$0	\$1,900,000	\$1,900,000
IND COSTS	\$0		Locat	\$0	1	\$0 \$2,065,640	\$0	\$2,065,640
BOND FINANCING	\$0		Total Funding					
TOTAL PROJ COST	\$3,965,640	). De	by Share	<b>\$</b> 0	l	\$0 \$2,065,640	\$1,900,000	\$3,965,640

PHASE: C = CONSTRUCTION E = ENGINEERING R = ROW

MPO / District Name District - 2.1 Initial FY 2017 - 2020 Transportation Improvement Program

Funding by Category

Section 1	Construction of the last of th	À	FY 2017	2	FY 2018	FY 2019	019	M	FY 2020	Total fiv	Total FV 2017 - 2030
Category	Description	Programmed	Authorized	Programmed	Authorized	Dengrammad	Reshortend		Section of the section of the section of		
	Preventive Maintenance	\$533.000	\$533,000	Ş			namoure	rogrammed	Authorized	Programmed	Authorized
2M or 2U	Urban Area (Non-TMA)	S				Q.	2	0	9	\$533,000	\$533,000
	Non-Traditionally Funded		3	O.	Ç.	90	0\$	0	03	0\$	\$0
60	Transportation Project	\$9,487,866	\$9,487,866	\$98,265,420	\$98,265,420	0\$	0\$	0\$	05	\$107.753 286	\$107 753 38E
4	Statewide Connectivity Corridor Projects	os	\$0	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	9	S	
ro.	CMAQ	\$0	0\$	0\$	0\$	80	ç	60	: 5		
5 Flex	Map21 Flex	0\$	0\$	\$0	80		2	2 2	O.	20	0,
9	Structures	0\$	03	08	CS	3	2	0	06	05	0\$
7	Metro Mobility & Rehab	\$10,288,300	\$10,288,300	\$875.000	\$875.000	9	2	2	2 2	05	\$0
αp	Safety	\$0	0\$	0\$	08	9	2	2	2 5	\$11,163,300	\$11,163,300
0	Enhancements	\$1,229,855	\$1,229,855	\$509.358	\$509.358	5	2 8		ne s	0	05
9 Fiex	TAP	05	9	62	4		2	2	0.6	\$1,739,213	\$1,739,213
10	Supplementa				0.6	9	08	0\$	\$0	\$0	\$0
2	Transportation	30	05	\$7.411,515	\$7,411,515	0\$	9	80	0\$	\$7,411,515	\$7,411,515
10 CBI	Corridor Border	80	0\$	0\$	0\$	05	5	0	00		
11	District Discretionary	\$0	0\$	\$5,302,000	\$5.302.000	S	2 5	2	2	n*	20
12	Strategic Priority	\$8,104,500	\$8,104,600	\$0	\$0	5	2	2 6	2	\$5,302,000	\$5,302,000
12C	Strategic Priority RECON	05	9	60		2 5	2	24	20	\$8,104,600	\$8,104,600
125	Strategic Priority RECON	9	2	2	0,0	000	0\$	0.	0\$	80	0\$
SAPE	Strategy Rudget DE		2	0.	08	0\$	0\$	\$0	\$0	\$0	0\$
100	Strategy 100	04	0.5	0\$	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0\$	0\$	05
201 de		05	000	0\$	0\$	\$0	0\$	80	0\$	0\$	9
	Total	\$29,643,621	\$29,643,621	\$112,363,293	\$112,363,293	0\$	\$0	80	\$0	\$142 DOB 014	6142 500 000

Funding Participation Source

Source	PY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Tetal
Federal	\$16,124,563	\$12,532,902	25	0\$	\$28.657.465
State	\$2,827,520	\$4,343,099	0\$	0\$	\$7.170.619
Local Match	\$1,203,672	\$34,429,292	0\$	0\$	\$35.632.964
CAT 3 - Local Contributions (LC)	\$4,020,866	\$61,058,000	\$0	0\$	\$65.078.866
CAT3 - Prop 1	\$5,467,000	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$5.467.000
CAT 3- Prop 7	0\$	S	0\$	0\$	S
CAT 3 - Prop 12	\$0	\$0	0\$	OS	9
CAT 3 - Prop 14 Bonds	0\$	0\$	05	S	2 \$
CAT 3 - Texas Mobility Fund	0\$	05	S	3	2 5
CAT 3 Vehical Registration Fees - VTR	0\$	\$0	08	S	2 2
CAT 3 - RTR	0\$	0\$	S	9	2
CAT 3 - SH 121 Toll Revenue	0\$	0\$	S	2 5	2 5
CAT 3 - SH 161 Toll Revenue	05	80	5	2 5	2
CAT 3 - SH 130 Concession Revenue	\$0	80	S	2 4	0 0
CAT 3 - PTF	\$0	OS .	S	8 5	2 2
CAT 3 - Unique Federal Program - Tiger II	0\$	0\$	S	8 5	2 2
CAT 3 - TDC	0\$	0\$	O\$	2 9	2 5
Other - Section 5306	\$0	0\$	0\$	0\$	2 5
Other Strategy PE Budget	\$0	0\$	0\$	0\$	9
Other - Stragegy 102 Budget	\$0	\$0	0\$	0\$	9
Total	\$29,643,621	\$112,363,293	\$0	90	\$142 008 914

# MPO / District Name District - 21 Initial FY 2017 - 2020 Transportation Improvement Program

Funding by Category

		FYZ	FY 2017	FY2	FY 2018	FY 2019	019	FY 2020	020	Total FY 2	Total FY 2017 - 2020
Category	Description	Programmed	Authorized	Programmed	Authorized	Programmed	Authorized	Programmed	Authorized	Programmed	Authorized
-	Preventive Maintenance and Rehabilitation	\$532,400	\$532,400	05	0\$	0\$	0\$	O\$	0\$	\$532,400	\$532,400
2M or 2U	Urban Area (Non-TMA) Corridor Projects	0\$	\$0	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$0	0\$	0\$	0\$	0.50
m	Non-Traditionally Funded Transportation Project	\$9,286,666	\$9,286,666	\$98,265,420	\$98,265,420	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$107,552,086	\$107,552,086
4	Statewide Connectivity Corridor Projects	\$0	\$0	08	80	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$0
co	CMAQ	\$0	\$0	0\$	\$0	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$
5 Flex	Map21 Flex	0\$	\$0	0\$	\$0	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	05
9	Structures	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$0	\$0	0\$	80	0\$	\$0	0\$
7	Metro Mobility & Rehab	\$9,872,300	\$9,872,300	\$121,000	\$121,000	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$9,993,300	\$9,993,300
00	Safety	0\$	80	0\$	0\$	9	\$0	05	\$0	0\$	0\$
6	Enhancements	\$1,229,855	\$1,229,855	\$509,358	\$509,358	03	\$0	0\$	0\$	\$1,739,213	\$1,739,213
9 Flex	TAP	0\$	\$0	0\$	\$0	8	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	05
10	Supplemental Transportation	0\$	\$0	\$7,411,515	\$7,411,515	0,	\$0	O\$	0\$	\$7,411,515	\$7,411,515
10 CBI	Corridor Border	\$0	0\$	0\$	\$0	9	0\$	So	0\$	0\$	0\$
11	District Discretionary	\$0	\$0	\$5,302,000	\$5,302,000	8	0\$	95	0\$	\$5,302,000	\$5,302,000
12	Strategic Priority	\$8,104,600	\$8,104,600	\$0	0\$	05	\$0	0\$	\$0	\$8,104,500	\$8,104,600
12C	Strategic Priority RECON	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	03	\$0	0\$	\$0	\$0	0\$
125	Strategic Priority RECON	\$0	\$0	0\$	\$0	80	\$0	98	\$0	\$0	\$
SBPE	Strategy Budget PE	\$0	\$0	0\$	0\$	95	\$0	80	0\$	80	0\$
SB 102	Strategy 102	0\$	\$0	0\$	\$0	\$0	\$0	80	0\$	80	05
	Total	\$29,025,821	\$29,025,821	\$111,609,293	\$111,609,293	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$0	\$140,635,114	\$140,635,114

Source	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Total
Federal	\$15,791,763	\$8,624,102	\$0	\$0	\$24,415,865
State	\$2,826,920	\$3,282,699	80	0\$	\$6,109,619
Local Match	\$1,120,472	\$10,710,867	\$0	\$0	\$11,831,339
CAT 3 - Local Contributions (LC)	\$3,819,666	\$24,160,000	80	\$0	\$27,979,666
CAT 3 - Prop 1	\$0	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$0
CAT 3- Prop 7	\$0	2	0\$	0\$	0\$
CAT 3 - Prop 12	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$
CAT 3 - Prop 14 Bonds	0\$	80	0\$	0\$	0\$
CAT 3 Texas Mobility Fund	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	9\$
CAT 3 - Vehical Registration Fees - VTR	0\$	\$	0\$	0\$	0\$
CAT 3 - RTR	\$0	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$
CAT 3 - SH 121 Toll Revenue	\$0	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$
CAT 3 - SH 161 ToH Revenue	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$
CAT 3 - SH 130 Concession Revenue	0\$	\$0	8	0\$	0\$
CAT 3 - PTF	80	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$
CAT 3 - Unique Federal Program - Tiger II	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$	0\$
CAT 3 - TDC	\$0	\$0	0\$	0\$	0\$
Other - Section 5306	\$0	0\$	80	0\$	0\$
Other - Strategy PE Budget	\$0	80	\$0	0\$	0\$
Other - Stragegy 102 Budget	\$0	80	0\$	0\$	\$0
	6-12 EE0 0-14	000 111 000	4		000